

Structured Academic Controversy

Historical Background:

Discuss with your group what you learned about early hunter-foragers from last night's reading.

SAC Question:

During the Structured Academic Controversy, you and your group will explore the following question:

Should early hunter-forager groups be considered savages?

Team A must argue: YES, early hunter-foragers should be considered savages.

Team B must argue: NO, early hunter-foragers should not be considered savages.

Evidence

As you develop your arguments for the SAC, use as many of the following possible sources of evidence as you can from the hunter-foragers document set.

Procedures

- 10 minutes Discuss with your group what you learned about hunter-foragers from last night's reading.
- 20 minutes With your teammate, read the background and the documents in the hunter-foragers set. Find the five best pieces of evidence to support your side.
- 10 minutes Team A presents. BOTH PARTNERS MUST PRESENT!
Team B writes down Team A's arguments and then repeats them back to team A.
- 10 minutes Team B presents. BOTH PARTNERS MUST PRESENT!
Team A writes down Team B's arguments and then repeats them back to team B.
- 10 minutes Everyone CAN ABANDON their positions. Group of 4 attempts to reach a consensus.
- 10 minutes Enter your (individual) final conclusion in Google Classroom. ***Constructively*** comment on two other classmate's conclusions.

ORGANIZING THE EVIDENCE

Use this space to write your main points and the main points made by the other side.

Team A

Early hunter-foragers should be considered savages because _____

Evidence to support your main idea:

1)

2)

3)

4)

5)

Team B

Early hunter-foragers should not be considered savages because _____

Evidence to support your main idea:

1)

2)

3)

4)

5)